SEPTEMBER 1991

British Nationalist

FOR RACE AND NATION

BNP

SAVE OUR FORCES!

Fight the defence cuts!

OVER THE CENTURIES, Britain has been threatened again and again by invasion by foreign powers. But for nearly a thousand years the would-be invaders have never succeeded. In the end, we have fought them off, sometimes in alliance with others but sometimes on our own. Our entire history is testimony to the need for this country at all times to maintain armed forces capable of defending it in war, if necessary unaided.

But now our Government is pushing forward plans to reduce Britain's armed forces so drastically that, in the opinion of many defence experts, we shall in the future be unable to undertake any significant military operations anywhere in the world except as part of an international coalition of forces. In other words, putting it simply, we shall be unable effectively to defend ourselves!

These are the conclusions that have been reached by those who have studied the latest defence white paper produced by the Commons Defence Committee, entitled *Options for Change*. The paper recommends cuts in the army of no less than 25 per-cent and cuts in the other forces which will reduce our armed services as a whole by about 20 per-cent.

Is the Government undertaking these cuts out of blind ignorance? We do not think so. Mr. Tom King, Defence Secretary, has said that he does not foresee any major conflict in the future in which Britain will not be fighting "with our allies." Just what this means, we analyse on page two.

THE MINISTER RESPONSIBLE

Mr. TOM KING (left) is the present Defence Secretary and the man responsible for the latest plans to cut Britain's armed forces by 25 per-cent. Mr. King, with the aid of the Commons Defence Committee, has produced a white paper entitled *Options for Change*, which is supposed to be based on a sober assessment of the nation's defence needs for the remainder of the 1990s. It is in this white paper that the drastic cuts in our armed services have been recommended.

Mr. King, who was a total flop as Secretary of State for Northern Ireland, looks as if he is going to be as big a disaster in his present job. In fact, the reductions he has proposed will render Britain powerless to fight any proper war unaided.

In other times we called it Treason

OUR VIEW OF THE CUTS (OVERLEAF)

BRITISH NATIONAL PARTY INFORMATION LINE

081-316-4721

British Nationalist

No. 113 SEPTEMBER 1991
Editor: John Morse

ANOTHER TREND SET TO CONTINUE

ATTEMPTS to get the convicted killer of PC Blakelock, Winston Silcott, released from gaol are continuing apace. Silcott now seems to have quite a band-waggon rolling in his favour, made up of bleeding-heart liberals and left-wingers of all descriptions, who claim to be convinced that he is an unfortunate innocent wrongly found guilty in a court of law.

This is yet another example of what now seems to be an ongoing trend. The same kind of band-waggon was set in motion with the same energy and fanaticism by just the same kind of people in support of the Guildford Four and the Birmingham Six.

A couple of things stand out in all these campaigns. For a start, the prospective beneficiaries of them are all enemies of British society.

Whether the Guildford Four or the Birmingham Six actually physically committed the bombings for which they were convicted, there could be little doubt of their IRA sympathies. One of the former had already been wanted in connection with offences previously committed in Northern Ireland before his arrest for the Guildford pub attack — in which a number of people were killed.

As for the latter, some were arrested while travelling to Northern Ireland for an IRA funeral.

As far as Winston Silcott is concerned, nobody disputes that he was a violent troublemaker and criminal before his arrest,trial and imprisonment over PC Blakelock's brutal death. At the time of the hideous Tottenham riot he was free on bail pending trial in connection with another killing — just who pulled the strings that brought this about raises another interesting question of 'justice'!

It may well be questioned whether somebody like this is really worth the expenditure of any passion on his behalf. It will also be asked what sort of people they are who would be capable of such passion in such a cause.

Of course, the latter will argue that no-one should be gaoled for a crime they never committed. But one notices in all the aforementioned cases that the determination of these people to get convicted Irish republicans and Blacks released from jail is in no way paralleled by any equal determination to obtain justice for other types of prisoner who may have been wrongly put away. Where, for instance, were these people when the case of the Armagh Four — four UDR officers imprisoned for the murder of a catholic — came up? The evidence that this conviction was faulty is so strong that the Home Office has now agreed to reopen the case, but this is no thanks to the people who have been agitating on behalf of the Irish republicans and Silcott — from them there was a deafening silence over the affair!

What it all boils down to is that the passion for so-called 'justice' of these people is extremely selective. They raise an outcry on behalf of those whom it suits them to campaign for, but not on behalf of others. What all this indicates is that the name of the game is not justice but politics. The campaigns in question are political campaigns. And the target? The very British society of which the convicted 'heroes' are the implacable foes.

IN OTHER TIMES WE CALLED IT TREASON

British Nationalist commentary on the defence cuts

THE CUTS in Britain's armed forces recommended in the latest Government white paper *Options for Change* are so savage that they have alarmed many service chiefs and even caused a minor rebellion among Tory bankbenchers in the House of Commons not normally given to 'rocking the boat'.

The cuts will reduce the army by 25 per-cent and all armed forces by 20 per-cent. In addition to this, they will inevitably result in the closure of some of the army's most famous regiments, thus helping to undermine yet further the regimental system that has been one of the backbones of the British Army across the centuries.

Last but not least, they will affect contracts for Britain's already hard-hit armaments industry — an industry that politicians seem incapable of recognising must be maintained in vigorous condition if any meaningful national capacity for self-defence is to be preserved at all.

Critics of the cuts, both in the armed services and in the Tory Party, have said that if they go through as planned it is doubtful whether Britain would be in a position to carry out any significant military operation anywhere in the world except as part of an international coalition. She would, just to take one example, almost certainly not be able to repeat her action of 1982 in which she sent forces to liberate the Falklands. It will be remembered that at that time our so-called 'allies' were by no means forthcoming in their support for the Falklands campaign, and as a result Britain had to undertake the whole exercise entirely on her own —as was of course quite proper, since it was British territory only that had been invaded.

For starters, the cuts now being planned will constitute an open invitation to the Argentines to have another go at taking the Falklands — islands to which they have never given up their claim and probably never will.

GOVERNMENT'S EXCUSE

In its reply to its critics, the Government is basing its rationale for the defence cuts primarily on two arguments.

The first is that, with the ending of the so-called 'cold war', the likelihood of any international conflict requiring the participation of British forces on anything more than a very small scale has greatly diminished.

This is utter nonsense, as the Falklands conflict itself amply demonstrated. This conflict had nothing whatsoever to do with the 'cold war'. Nor in fact did the recent war in the Gulf. Our paper opposed British participation in that war, as we did not believe that any vital British interest required that we go into battle on behalf of the Kuwaiti emirate against Saddam Hussein. However, the Government's view was different; it backed the war and committed to it a far larger British force than had been used to retake the Falklands in 1982. Whatever the rights and wrongs of the Gulf War, it underlined once again that there is ample potential for international conflict quite regardless of whether the old 'cold war' still exists or not, and that there is no certainty whatever that such conflict would not involve Britain.

The Government's other main argument can be summed up in the words of Defence Secretary Tom King himself when he said that the white paper calling for the defence cuts did not envisage any conflict of importance in which Britain would not be acting in tandem with her 'allies'.

Again, the Falklands war, and the possibility of such a war occurring once more, is ample answer to that theory. However, we should look more deeply into the meaning of Mr. King's words on this subject, for in them we suspect are contained the warning signals of a policy and strategy that should cause alarm to all British people of patriotic outlook.

SELLING OUR FREEDOM AWAY

This declaration by Mr. King has come just at a time when his Government is busily engaged negotiating with the heads of the European Community towards a common currency and monetary union, probably to be followed (though the Government would deny it at this moment) by political union. Rumblings have also been heard from within the Community in favour of the gradual merging of the armed forces of the European nations into single European forces, and indeed some embryo units have already been formed to this purpose.

We believe that we are not wrong in guessing that the objective lying at the back of the Government's mind is that Britain will endorse this merging of armed forces and eventually commit her own forces to that very merger. This, if it happens, would be consistent with everything which the present Government and its predecessors have been doing for the past thirty or more years.

Over this time, one government after another, aside from eroding the combat strength of our land, sea and air forces, has carried out a parallel erosion of our self-sufficiency in arms production. An Increasing range of weaponry for our forces has been purchased overseas, particularly from the United States. A prominent example of this policy was the scrapping, in the 1960s, of the TSR2 fighter-bomber, then the most advanced military aircraft in the world. Yet another was the abandonment of the British-built Nimrod early warning system in favour of an American system. Recently, the Government seriously considered equipping the British Army with the American-built Abrams tank instead of the British-made Challenger but opted for the Challenger at the last moment. Had this decision gone the other way, it would have been the end of Britain's tank-producing industry through the almost inevitable closure of the Vickers factories which did the job.

DELIBERATE DISARMAMENT

All these actions point to the existence of an underlying policy, adhered to by British Governments for most of the post-1945 period, of gradually eliminating Britain's capacity for independent national defence and leaving her with the capacity only to take military action as part of international coalitions of forces — in which case such action would be taken, not in defence of British interests, but in defence purely of whatever such interests may be thought to serve these coalitions.

This policy would of course be consistent with the gradual erosion of our independent power of action in the economic and political fields.

What it boils down to is this: we are lumbered with rulers who have no belief in Britain's future as a free and sovereign nation-state, under its own government and with the capacity to act in its own interests, whether economically, militarily or in any other way.

It means, in effect, that these rulers want to repudiate the past thousand years of the history of these islands, in which we have fought again and again for our national freedom and again and again been victorious.

They want to surrender control of our destinies to foreign powers as effectively as did those English subjects who worked for Spain at the time the Armada was advancing up the Channel and as did their successors who served

Contd. on page 7

THE ARMY OUR RULERS ARE PLANNING?
This is a special 'European' unit made up of recruits from various nations' services. All the signs are that the Government's eventual aim is to have integrated European forces based on this model, with no independent British forces available to act in defence of specific British interests.

VICTORY IN THE FALKLANDS
This is what British forces achieved single-handed in 1982. If present plans go ahead, Britain would not be able to go to the defence of the Falklands in the future

BRITISH WORLD-BEATER
This is the TSR2, the world's most advanced military aircraft of its time. It was inexplicably scrapped by the Labour Government of Harold Wilson in the 1960s. British Governments, Tory and Labour, have had a long record in the way of ditching British weaponry in order to buy from abroad, and thus erode our capacity to provide for our own defence.

Britain's multi-racial democracy at work

TORIES TAKE CONTROL OF LOONY LONDON BOROUGH AWAY FROM LABOUR — BUT BEDLAM GOES ON JUST THE SAME

THE North London borough of Brent, long notorious as one of the looniest of Britain's loony Labour councils, no longer belongs to Labour; it has fallen to the Tories.

But this doesn't seem to have made it any the less loony.

As part of the political horse-trading necessary to get a majority on the council, the leader of the Tory group, Mr. Bob Blackman, did a deal with two erstwhile Labour councillors who had fallen out with their former comrades.

Both are temperamental females of African origin, rejoicing in the names of Nkechi Amalu-Johnson and Poline Nyaga. As their price for gracing the Tory ranks with their presence, the dusky duo demanded — no less! — the chairperson-ships of two key council committees, one for each of them.

Their wish was Mr. Blackman's command.

Mrs. Nyaga got the chair of the borough's Development Committee and Mrs. Amalu-Johnson that of Brent's highly sensitive Housing Committee. With the enthronement of the two, remarkable shenanigans, worthy of some of the banana republics back in their native Africa now seem to have become the norm in council deliberations.

COUNCIL CHAMBER CAPERS

According to the London *Evening Standard*, when Mrs. Nyaga's committee is in session "she sits grinning like a little girl on her birthday, with a council officer by her side guiding her through the agenda."

But it seems this is nothing compared with the new style brought right to the heart of the council chamber by Mrs. Amalu-Johnson, armed as she now has been by her new Tory colleagues with her present glory.

During a heated exchange in committee over Housing Department irregularities with an aggrieved Labour man, Mrs. Amalu-Johnson (whose son is reported to be in arrears with his own rent to the tune of thousands) screamed at the man to drown him out when he omitted to address her as 'Madam Chairman'. She then banged her gavel on the table for a whole minute. When her Labour opponent twice tried to resume his speech she gave repeat performances. She even imperiously commanded the council's chief executive to call the police!

But this is not the only exhibition of its kind. During discussion of Mr. Blackman's plans for "dismantling socialism" in the borough during a full council

POLINE NYAGA
'Chairperson' of Development Committee

session, Mrs. Amalu-Johnson, clad in the flowing brightly coloured finery that some Africans nowadays like to claim as their "traditional dress," butted in on the proceedings with a loud and utterly irrelevant demand that councillors who were having affairs with other councillors should declare a financial interest!

It is uncertain whether she was having difficulty in following the debate, but whatever the case Mr. Blackman made no effort whatever to call his protégée to order.

PERKS OF THE POST

"Madam Chairman" seems to have been granted quite a bit of leeway elsewhere too by Brent's Tories. Against all council rules, she has been allowed to defer full payment of a £6,500 loan on a car incurred when she was on the local authority's books as a social worker.

NKECHI AMALU-JOHNSON
Screamed across the chamber

She has also been the mover in getting a council community centre building handed over to an anonymous group for an 'Africa Centre', to be subsidised — of course — by local poll-tax payers, instead of it being given to identifiable individuals aiming to put the premises at the disposal of the whole community. The Tories — surprise, surprise! — have allowed this to go ahead.

Scandalised Liberal Democrat leader John Hammond called it "the biggest pay-off ever seen in Brent." This is really saying something!

But Bob Blackman was unrepentant. According to him, "The Africans are the most neglected community in Brent."

Here too, he would seem to be continuing the long-standing Labour scheme of priorities. Like the Labour gang, he seems to have seen fit simply to overlook the native-born white folk who built the borough in the first place, and who have been getting the rawest deal for years.

Meanwhile, from the regal surroundings of her office in Mahatma Gandhi House "Madam Chairman" has been firmly identifying herself with South Africa's self-styled 'Great She-Elephant' Winnie Mandela. Despite the latter's recent convictions for abduction and assault, Mrs. Amalu-Johnson's word has been enough to stop the Tories from renaming the Housing Department's Winnie Mandela House!

FAVOURS FOR ASIAN

All this is not Brent Tories' first excursion into ethnic grovelling. A third Labour councillor, this time an Asian, Harshad Barot, has been enticed onto the Conservative gravy train.

By all accounts, Barot too is an unlikely recruit to the Tory cause. Until recently, the Tories were trying to oust him from the council over his having once been convicted of an attempted council home-improvement fraud.



TORY LEADER BLACKMAN
Evidently will do any deal to keep his party in control

They had also reported him to the Crown Prosecution Service for failing to declare an interest during a debate on the Brent Asian Professionals' Association and the £100,000 it owed in rent, loans and missing equipment, even though he had been the Association's Chairman.

But since then Barot has pleaded that he did not know what was going on in the debate because his hearing aid was playing up, and the Tories have accepted this, giving Barot seats on two of the council's committees.

Members of the Tory group meanwhile profess to believe that they can somehow make silk purses out of sow's ears. Councillor Arthur Steele admitted that he didn't think "anyone feels comfortable with the situation." Of his new colleague Mrs. Amalu-Johnson he said: "If this lady continues making demands at the rate she is, then soon there won't be anything left to give."

"It's like paying danegeld," he said.

Acknowledging voters' concern at this, he then expressed the pious hope that everything would turn out alright in the end, with local services, from schools to street lamps, working a treat under Tory rule — as sustained by the likes of Amalu-Johnson, Nyaga and Barot.

He didn't go into details about how this circle was going to be squared.

PERMANENT FIXTURE

The truth is that now that the bedlam of multi-racialism has been let loose it is a permanent fixture, regardless of which of the established parties is in control. What is more, the Tories, just as much as Labour, have exposed themselves as not only powerless to stop it but as being quite willing to go along with it just so long as they can cling to office.

SPECIAL FAVOURS FOR 'NEW BRITISH'

A NUMBER of recent straws in the wind have shown just what status native Britons are being relegated to in their own country under its new multi-racial order, as immigrants get privileges beyond any British person's wildest dreams.

In yet another housing scandal, penniless newcomers to Britain have once again been placed in luxury accommodation at the taxpayer's expense.

Living rent-free on government housing benefit, Bangladeshi immigrant Abdul Qadir, his wife and children were placed by Camden Council, N.W. London (next door to loony Brent), in a Georgian-style home with four bedrooms and two bathrooms, built for yuppies but left empty by the collapse of the property boom. The Qadirs arrived in Britain just over five months ago.

Qadir and his family, who lived in a tumbledown shack before coming to Britain, said that he had heard that London was the 'place to head for because its local councils were so nice to people like himself.

The message that Britain is every alien's Tom Tiddler's ground seems to have reached the remote deserts of North Eastern Africa. A Somali family which pitched up at Heathrow at much the same time as the Qadirs has likewise never loked back. Numbering at least eight, including five children, the non-English-speaking family is enjoying the same life of Reilly in another of the £350,000 mansions in the same luxury mews.

Meanwhile, an opposition Tory housing spokesman on the council says that some British families have been waiting years to be moved from one-bedroom flats in tower blocks. "How can I look them in the eye and explain that families from Africa who have just stepped off the boat-train have been moved in," he said.

Just how little white people are now being made to count for in multi-racial Britain was recently discovered by Lancashire midwife Mrs. Irene Denkowycz. When Mrs. Denkowycz turned up for a dip at her local swimming baths in Oldham before starting her Sunday morning shift she was turned away because she wasn't Asian.

The baths were open, but officials refused to let her in. She was told that there was a session in progress for Asian women only.

Rightly, she was extremely annoyed. "I could understand it being a women-only session, but it seemed unfair that the pool should be reserved for Asians," she said. "We all pay our poll tax and should be treated the same way."

But all her pleas to be admitted to the pool fell on deaf ears.

As she added: "I can just imagine the row if a swimming pool was opened in Oldham for white women only. The race relations people would be down on it like a ton of bricks."

This is absolutely true.

In fact, British people are in the process of being squeezed out of their own land by an alien take-over that is being forced upon them. Cases like this should serve to warn us all what day of the week it is — and what will happen to us if we do not act soon to stop it.



LIVING IN LUXURY
Abdul Qadir and family in their dream house

Innocent victim dies as liberal lunacy gives green light to AIDS

THE WOMAN pictured here is dying of AIDS.

She is wasting away, her body organs literally rotting from rare diseases, and she is slowly losing her mind — all typical symptoms of the AIDS-related syndrome.

23-year-old Kimberly Bergalis is completely innocent of any of the kinds of deviant or anti-social behaviour that are normally associated with the AIDS disease. She is heterosexual, she has never taken drugs, she has never slept around. In fact she is a virgin.

Absolutely blameless herself, she was infected with HIV by her dentist in Miami, Florida, USA, Dr. David Acer.

This man carried on practising dentistry although both he and the Florida health authorities knew he had the virus.

How was this possible?

Well, under the kind of priorities that prevail, not just in Florida, nor even in the US alone, but right the way across the 'liberal' western world, including Britain, Dr. Acer had his individual 'rights'.

Among these 'rights', guaranteed by Florida laws, he had the 'right' to have his HIV-positive condition kept confidential. In keeping with this, he also had the right to carry on practising as a dentist.

DOOMED TO DIE
Kimberly Bergalis. She caught AIDS from her dentist.

Acer's 'rights' as an individual were held to take first place over and above the rights of the community at large to health and well-being.

This is 'liberalism' at work — the creed that says that every individual should be left to do his own thing, no matter what. It is the mad ideology that takes sides with every anarchist who in thought, word or deed aims to break down every moral principle, every tradition and every kind of authority that makes for good order in society.

'Liberalism' is the crazy world-view that, in its cocky arrogance, thinks it knows better than the accumulated wisdom of centuries. It is the philosophy that erects every group of anti-social malcontents and perverts into an 'oppressed' minority, to be compensated at society's expense for

Contd. on page 7

Crazy Britain 1

(1) Pitched battles in model 'harmony' town

IT SHOULDN'T have happened in Telford — at least if you take the holy writ of the multi-racialists at face value.

Ten years ago now, in his infamous report on Britain's inner city riots of 1981, Lord Scarman, a true mouthpiece of our ruling 'liberal', pro-immigration and pro-race-mixing establishment if ever there was one, set it all down in black and white at the behest of the Thatcher Government.

The ethnic minorities of Brixton and elsewhere only rioted because the British were being beastly to them. The 'racist' British host population were short-changing them for jobs, housing and other social benefits, whilst the equally 'racist' British police were endlessly singling them out for 'harassment'.

According to Scarman, all would be well, and the lion would lie down with the lamb in peace and harmony, if only the British would agree to play the part of the lamb. They must, he said, be prepared to move over for the benefit of Blacks — give them special understanding and tolerance — yield up more of life's goodies to them — even stretch things to the point at which special forms of oh-so-sensitive 'softly-softly' policing should be applied to black law-breakers.

EXPERIMENTAL GROUND

Well, nobody can say that the full Scarman formula has not been applied in Telford. This prim West Midlands new town, specially developed to accommodate multi-racial overspill from Birmingham and Wolverhampton, is something of a boom town.

At 7.4 per-cent, unemployment is below the national average. Telford's residential areas are made up of freshly-built estates on a kind of 'village' pattern. The prosperous town centre is well frequented by all and sundry, black and white, who all seem to have plenty of money to spend. There isn't a trace of inner-city blight and no-one, whatever their race, could plausibly plead 'deprivation' or 'under-privilege'.

Nor had the town's Blacks and Asians, numbering some 4,000 out of a population of 119,000 and thoroughly inter-mixed with the Whites from the residential point of view, been particularly loud in accusing the local police of 'racially victimising' them — that is until a vicious spate of riots racked the Hadley area for two tense days in mid-August.

There was scarcely a detail of these riots that did not closely mirror the ethnic minority rampages of the last decade and more in Britain's inner cities. In scenes of mayhem worthy of Brixton or Toxteth, shops, offices and cars in the area came under attack, and police battling to restore order were set upon by mainly black mobs, with a few Asian and degenerate white hangers-on in tow.

POLICE THREATENED WITH GUN

The pretext for the rampage was the shooting dead by armed police officers of a deranged Afro-Caribbean youth, Ian Gordon, who had been brandishing a gun at police in the course of a chase. The gun turned out afterwards to be an unloaded air pistol, but the police marksmen would have had no way of knowing this.

The latter fact, commonsense though it was, did not stop local Blacks instantly claiming that they were being picked on. One agitator at a nearby West Indian cultural centre went so far as to call for more or less indiscriminate violence along racial lines. At a meeting in the centre he yelled: ''This is black man problem. The Englishman want to give us fight, we give him fight. If it comes to the fight, we use fire against fire. To hell with water!''

This was reported to have been met by the audience with stamping and whooping that could be heard all the way down the main street.

In the upshot, apart from damage to property, a number of police officers were injured in the all-out assault on the town, as bricks and petrol bombs were thrown at them. Appeals for calm both from police and from the dead man's family were ignored, and in the second night's violence a police HQ was set alight and a fire-engine attacked as it came on the scene in response. A local journalist was badly beaten up and hospitalised, and his cameraman colleague chased by the mob.

Once again, the mass media tried to hush up or play down the trouble by giving it no prominence whatever in national news reports. It was only through our local contacts in the area, and by means of the local press cuttings they sent us, that we were able to learn of the full scale of the mayhem in Telford.

Events in Telford give the lie to multi-racialism. If the race-mixers' utopia won't work out there, it won't work out anywhere.

Far from being the result of any mistreatment of Blacks by Whites, it has now become clear, as racial realists have always said, that Britain's race riots are the natural and inevitable outcome of multi-racialism itself. Peoples who are mutually alien to each other cannot mix together in harmony. If the multi-racial society is continued, the riots will spread. What happened in Telford can happen anywhere.

VICTIM OF BLACK RAMPAGE
Reporter Paul Wood, seen here in hospital, was attacked by the Telford mob, although he could not possibly have had anything to do with the police shooting that had been seized upon as an excuse for the mayhem.

HOODED HOODS
Black rioters at Telford with their faces hidden

(2) Police abandon W criminal anarchy for f upsetting 'race relatio

AFTER ten years of Lord Scarman's quack cures, nothing has changed for the better in Britain's inner cities. Far from it.

Race riots and rampages still happen. And, in addition, it now seems that when they do the law-abiding British public can no longer count on the police to turn up.

At the end of July the infamous Chapeltown ghetto in Leeds exploded anew. Not for the first time, black youths made use of a hot Sunday night to go on a looting spree in the neighbourhood.

This time, acting in keeping with the Scarman prescriptions, police stayed away from the scene for four whole hours, leaving local people to fend for themselves. Andras Bocskei, manager of the area's Latvian Club, looked on from his car as youths plundered his premises. When he went to the police he was told they could do nothing because they only had eight officers on duty at the time. Reinforcements came to police HQ in Chapeltown later on, making a total of 30 available men. But these were stood down on the excuse that nothing could be done until a helicopter was available.

These excuses for police inaction were in fact totally inadequate. Well within one hour, it should have been possible to mobilise reinforcements from other areas — particularly as past experiences of trouble in places like Chapeltown and nearby Bradford and Dewsbury should have resulted in West Yorkshire Constabulary having emergency plans already prepared for such a contingency.

Meanwhile, on the night in Chapeltown local shopkeepers had to make arrangements for their own defence. One stood guard at his window with an air rifle ready to protect himself and his property.

SCANDAL

The conduct of the police scandalised local white residents. One outraged elderly woman said: ''We are very disappointed with the police. They are treating the Blacks with kid gloves. If it had been any other group of people they would have been there and stopped it.''

The police themselves pleaded in reply that they are caught between a rock and a hard place. If they try to enforce law and order,

THE HEADING THAT SPEAKS FOR ITSELF
This caption and picture appeared in the* Sunday Times *report on the Chapeltown trouble. The man at the window has his air gun at the ready.

PROTECT OUR CHILDREN — BRING BACK THE ROPE!

IN a slaughter of the innocents, no less than three small children have been abducted by perverted psychopaths and murdered last month.

In just one week in mid-August Angela Flaherty (7) was found dead in Huddersfield after having been battered and sexually assaulted; the body of Rebecca McBride (6) was discovered in a lake near Swansea; and Paul Pearson (7) was found strangled in Cleveland.

To these cases must be added the rape of an 11-year-old girl and the attempted abduction of a number of other small children.

There was a time in this country when the murderers and assailants of children would have thought twice before committing their atrocities with such abandon. That was when there was much less of an acceptance of sexual deviancy on the part of those in authority.

It was also a time when there prevailed in Britain a much harder view in matters law and order, when young innocents were regarded as having rights to the special protection of the law that overrode those of the murderous sadist and the pervert, and when child murderers could expect to have the same measures dealt out to them as they had given their helpless little victims — death.

Today, the worst they are likely to suffer is a not too uncomfortable spell in gaol — in principle and in theory 'life' but in practice often much less — all funded at public expense.

INADEQUATE PROTECTION

Home Office Minister Angela Rumbold wants parents to follow the advice given in a free leaflet, *Protecting your Children*. But, correct as it is that parents should take responsibility for guarding their young at all times, what ultimate guarantee of their kids, safety have such parents got if the state will not adequately fulfil its own duty to uphold a clean and decent society?

Dishing out advice like this from dizzy official heights is all very well. But many British folk will demand much more from their Government.

And they will be right.

But as things stand, they are unlikely to get it. Our present parliamentary representatives have on a couple of occasions been offered a free vote on the restoration of hanging — especially for the killers of children and for terrorists. Each time, in the teeth of public opinion, they have voted against.

What is needed is a new régime that will cut through this obstruction to give the people what they rightly want and demand.

Britain needs a government that, instead of just talking and wringing its hands over such abominations as child murder, acts radically to eliminate them.

To protect our children, we need a proper deterrent. The rope must be brought back.

AUGUST'S VICTIMS
Angela Flaherty (7), Rebecca McBride (6) and Paul Pearson (7)

991

RIOT, MAYHEM AND MURDER RUN RAMPANT AS MULTI-RACIAL EXPERIMENT COLLAPSES IN RUINS

hites to ear of ons'



FLASHBACK
Black youth has his car searched by police during the trouble in Chapeltown in 1981. Since that time, 'softly-softly' policies have been adopted towards black law-breakers in order to preserve good 'race relations'.

criminal Blacks accuse them of being 'provocative' and threaten heightened street violence. If they don't, decent folk feel themselves betrayed by the forces of order, whom they see as giving in to the drug-dealers, violent robbers and rioters.

Some Blacks now seem in fact to regard almost any police action against themselves and their kin as 'racial harassment'. Reporting on the Chapeltown riot, *Times* correspondent Jamie Dettmer described the attitudes of black youths outside the notorious Hayfield pub, a centre of drug distribution in the neighbourhood:-

> "Perceptions are often more important than realities. To most young Blacks... the police can do no right. They say they are picked on just because they are black.
>
> " 'They kep stopping me because they see me driving an H-registered car,' one of them said. But when asked how he had paid for the car, the portable telephone that was sticking out of his trouser pocket, and his expensive clothes, he provided no explanation."

REFERENCE TO CROOKS

What causes scandal is that when the perceptions and interests of the criminals in places like Chapeltown clash with those of law-abiding folk, police now almost automatically seem to be giving superior consideration to those of the criminals in order to avoid racial clashes. The police plea about the need to strike a 'balance' between the demands of black troublemakers and those of ordinary law-abiding people is a revealing admission of their state of mind.

In the minds of most normal British folk it is an outrage that any such dilemma should be seen to exist. It seems to them as plain as day that when the sensitivities of criminals and those of the observers of the law are at odds it is the latter than should obviously prevail. Police are supposed to exist to see that they do. Indulging law-breakers because they happen to be black, in order to avoid short-term trouble, is not what most people see as the police's brief.

In fact, the state of affairs that has been allowed to develop is not in the first place the fault of the police at all. It is really down to the likes of Lord Scarman, his political masters and others in high places at Westminster and beyond who lay down the lines within which policing policies will operate.

These are the true culprits. By all their words and actions they have encouraged many Blacks to regard Britain's laws and Britain's police in the way they do.

They are the ones responsible for turning areas like Chapeltown into tinder-boxes and, in some cases, almost no-go areas.

They are the ones who carry on ramming multi-racial conditions down the throats of our people and our police, and then try to stifle the least protest, no matter how disastrous the results.

British people have been very tolerant of this for a long time — some might say for too long.

Such tolerance cannot last for ever.

NO GO 1991

elderly shopkeeper shows how he stood guard with an air rifle to protect himself and his property when the police failed to turn out to stop the looting in the Chapeltown area of Leeds. 'I'm ready with the gun if they come again,' he said

oters were on the streets but the police spurned the calls for help

(3) When race-mixing kills

MIXED MARRIAGES; inter-racial relationships; race-mixing. Call it what you will. This disgusting practice can be a danger not only to the nation's racial health but also to the race-renegades themselves. For there is evidence that such relationships can result in death more often than is the average with normal ones between couples of the same race.

A six-week period earlier this summer witnessed one murdered race-mixer and two others sentenced to life imprisonment for murder. In each case a white female was involved in a relationship with a black male. In each case the woman was murdered.

Elizabeth McCormack of Ilford was a lady who did not believe in racial barriers. She was slain by her ex-lover John Omokhoje on September 19th 1989, following the break-up of their relationship and her calling in the police after he had raped her. Omokhoje ended the relationship permanently when he killed Elizabeth by raining 40 blows to her head and body with an axe. Omokhoje, who pleaded guilty to murder, was sentenced to life imprisonment on May 14th this year.

FOUND IN CAR BOOT

Shortly afterwards, on June 1st, another lady, Catherine Ayling, who went too close to race-mixing for her own good, was found dead in the boot of a car hired by American Black Curtis Howard. Howard had followed Catherine from a Massachusetts college, where she had been an exchange student. Catherine had gone on a date with him, probably out of a desire not to appear 'racist', but she did not wish the relationship to become serious. When she refused to renew it, Howard stabbed her 20 times in a frenzied knife attack.

The most publicised case, however, came at the end of June. Yet another lady who believed in inter-racial relationships, Elaine Forsythe, was murdered by her ex-lover Michael Shorey on July 21st 1990. Elaine was strangled with a curtain sash after a row which broke out when she ended the affair. Her flat-mate Patricia Morrison walked in on the murder scene and was herself then strangled by Shorey to keep her quiet. Both women were propped up in Patricia's car and driven by Shorey to Holloway, in North London, where he abandoned the vehicle.

PERJURY IN COURT

Sensational headlines followed the trial when former *Eastenders* star Sandy Ratcliff alibied Shorey for the time of the murder. Ratcliff gave an account of her relationship with Shorey leading up to the killings, and in a sickening display claimed that she and Shorey had been in bed together at the time of the murder.

The jury, however, didn't believe the times Ratcliff gave for her bed-romp with Shorey, and he was found guilty of murder and sent down for life.

Ratcliff, a former heroin user with a conviction for possessing cannabis, was slammed after the trial by the police. Det. Chief Supt. Geoff Parratt said: "We interviewed her ten times and she lied, lied, lied." Neither were the police happy with the money Ratcliff solicited for her 'story'. During the trial it emerged that she had been paid £20,000 by the *Sunday Mirror*. Afterwards she was signed up by the *Sun*. Ratcliff, one of the most disgusting creatures this paper has ever heard of, revealed the slag beneath the 'star', describing how she lusted after Shorey and denying that she lied in her 'evidence' to the court.

LESSONS

From these cases certain lessons must be learned, and the most important is that tragedy can very often result from inter-racial relationships. To say this is not to condemn any particular race; it is only to stress the harm that comes from race-mixing.

The case of Catherine Ayling is revealing, for there was no evidence that she was sexually attracted to her killer; she probably only agreed to a date so as not to appear 'prejudiced'. Her suitor, however, would not accept that this was all there was to it, and he made her die for it.

Some of the women who end up dead as a result of race-mixing are just low life who deserve all they get; some, like Catherine, are merely victims of this appalling social evil now afflicting Britain — an evil that must be fought at all costs.

RACE-MIXERS

DEAD
Elizabeth McCormack

DEAD
Catherine Ayling

DEAD
Elaine Forsythe

RACE-MIXERS



MURDERER
Curtis Howard

MURDERER
Michael Shorey



MURDERER
John Omokhoje

RACE-MIXER

LIAR
Sandy Ratcliff

INNOCENT VICTIM

DEAD
Patricia Morrison

YOUR LETTERS

Sir, — At the back of Glasgow's Sauchiehall Street lies the Garnethill district. It is our 'Chinatown', and around 1,000 Chinese families live in the old Victorian tenements.

In 1985 a gentleman called Kwong Wong was the owner of the Lucky Star restaurant down in Sauchiehall Street. It was whispered about that he was a leader of one of the Triad gangs. That year he was murdered by a Triad hit-team, sent from one of the 'Chinatowns' in England.

These vicious criminal thugs hacked Kwong Wong to death with heavy knives and butcher's cleavers. The murder was carried out in Rose Street, just along from the Glasgow Film Theatre and near the 'Multi-Cultural Centre'. A silent group of Chinese stood watching it.

When the police came to the scene of the crime, not one of the stony-faced Chinese would testify to having seen anything. The Triads evidently put more fear into them than does the wimpish British law. This shows us that the Queen's Writ ceases to run in places where a strong ethnic-minority presence gathers.

The first policeman to arrive on the scene is now a community relations officer. He was quoted in one newspaper as saying: "All Chinese I meet are decent, pleasant, courteous and law-abiding." One might ask how he arrives at this astonishing conclusion in the light of his experience in Rose Street.

With the transfer of Hong Kong to China in 1997 we can expect more Traid gang warfare on our streets in Britain.

HARRY MULLIN
Glasgow

Sir, — On the assassination of Rajiv Gandhi, the BBC spoke of "the Indian community" in Britain, "Indian organisations in Brdaford," the "All-India Hindu League," etc., etc., thus making it plain that these people are, and have been all along, **Indians** and **not British**, as some would claim.

R. TANDY
Kings Lynn, Norfolk

SPREADING THE WORD

Items available from the BNP Bookshop

154 Upper Wickham Lane
Welling
Kent DA16 3DP
Telephone: 081 316 4721

Open Tuesdays to Fridays, 10 a.m. to 5.30 p.m.

BOOKS



RACE AND REASON **(by Carlton Puttnam). A plain, unemotional account of the race issue that has been an invaluable contribution to the debate ever since it was first published in 1961. 125pp. £4.00 plus 55p p&p.**



RACE AND REALITY **(by Carlton Puttnam). A companion volume and supplement to *Race and Reason*, showing how the truth about the race issue has been suppressed by interested parties. 192pp. £4.00 plus 63p p&p.**



THE CONTROVERSY OF ZION **(by Douglas Reed). A best-seller in nationalist circles since its publication. A study of Jewish-Gentile relations since biblical times, packed with little-known and long-suppressed facts. 580pp. £14.50 plus £2.50 p&p.**

NEW LEAFLET

FORTHCOMING ELECTION: A MESSAGE TO THE VOTERS

If you want to defend
RIGHTS FOR WHITES
—then you must vote

BRITISH NATIONAL PARTY

In the next election you can vote for Britain's new and fastest-growing party, the British National Party. This is the only party that will put the British people first in this area — in jobs, in housing, in education and in social welfare.

Native-born British people are fast becoming second class citizens in their own country. Only the British National Party will defend their rights, the rights of the white community.

The towns and cities of Britain have suffered for years under the Tories, Labour and the Liberals/Liberal Democrats. Now is your chance to vote for a real change. For your children's sake, you must vote for your British National Party candidate.

BRITISH NATIONAL PARTY ✕

Find out more about us by writing for information. Please fill in your name and address below and send it to us, together with 30p in stamps to cover costs.

Name.....
Address.....

BRITISH NATIONAL PARTY BNP

Printed & published by BNP, PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW

BNP election warm-up leaflet — suitable for distribution in areas where the party has decided to contest seats but at an early stage in the campaign. Based on the 'Rights for Whites' theme, it is especially valuable in districts where immigration and race are a big issue. The leaflet is applicable to parliamentary or local government elections. £4.50 per 1,000, with postage charges of £4.10 for 1,000 and £4.65 for £2,000.



THE ÉLITE **(by Barbara Cole). The exciting story of the Rhodesian Special Air Service and its campaign against terrorism. 449pp. Hardback. £17.00 plus £3.15 p&p.**

NEW STICKERS



New design BNP sticker rolls now out:— Red white and blue roundal stickers — '*Vote BNP*' just in time for the General Election.
One colour red on white stickers — '*Rights for Whites*'. Following the BNP's highly successful 'Rights for Whites' campaigns in London. This sticker will launch the National campaign. Both stickers in rolls of 80 price £1 each plus 22p p&p.

NEW TEE-SHIRT

£6.00 plus 32p p&p.

B.N.P. STICKERS

OUTLAW HOMOSEXUALITY!

Protect our women and old folk STAMP OUT MUGGERS!

Fight subversion SMASH COMMUNISM!

LOVE THE WHITE RACE Protect its future!

Save our cities from this (riot)... START REPATRIATION!

As more unemployment rolls off the boats, we say... BAN IMPORTS!

Protect our young from child murderers... BRING BACK THE ROPE!

Stickers measure 3½in x 2½in. Self-adhesive at £1.50 per 100; gummed back at 40p per 100. Postage costs 26p per 100.

Scrap the Anglo-Irish Agreement KEEP ULSTER BRITISH!



ABORTION IS CHILD MURDER Make it illegal!


Free speech is under attack —
DEFEND IT!
The Government is trying to suppress criticism of its open-door immigration policy by prosecuting those who speak out. Help us fight this tyranny! Give us your support!
BRITISH NATIONAL PARTY
BNP

Free speech is under attack — DEFEND IT! Mentions prosecutions and bans against nationalists

Support Ulster Smash terrorism HANG I.R.A. MURDERERS!

Oppose the disarmers Build up our forces MAKE BRITAIN STRONG

She freezes in Winter while Third World gets £1,000 million a year
PUT BRITISH PEOPLE BEFORE ALIENS!
BRITISH NATIONAL PARTY
BNP

She freezes in Winter while Third World gets £1,000 million a year PUT BRITISH PEOPLE BEFORE ALIENS!

EDINBURGH

BNP march application sends local politicians into hysterics

FOLLOWING the successful BNP demonstration against the pro-IRA march in Edinburgh in June, Edinburgh BNP decided to apply for permission to hold a march against the IRA last month.

The application was duly made both to the council and to the police. The reaction of the police was a real eye-opener. First, at a meeting with Edinburgh BNP Organiser Neil McMichael the two senior officers present attempted to browbeat Neil into cancelling the application. Next, at a further meeting one officer almost foamed at the mouth and ranted on about "lack of manpower" and the need, as he put it, to "cancel police leave for the riot"! He was, however, told forcibly that the application stood and that the police were there to provide a service.

Eventually, the press picked up the story and the usual silly shock-horror reports started to appear.

A council meeting was held to decide if the march should be allowed to go ahead. Naturally, the anti-democratic councillors, who had consented to the pro-IRA march a short time earlier, voted to ban the BNP march (luckily, councils cannot do this in England — only the Home Secretary, if asked by the police, can ban a march).

Even so, two good things came out of the affair. Firstly, in the few days running up to the council meeting the party received a great deal of press coverage and Neil McMichael was on local radio two days running — with the proposed BNP march being the main headline on every news bulletin. Secondly, the council, by allowing a pro-IRA march and banning the BNP's patriotic, anti-IRA march, has revealed itself for what it is: a nest of anti-British traitors.

Following the council's decision, both press and radio reported its treachery and predicted that the party would still turn up on the day. Neil dismissed this by again going on local radio and saying: "We are a party of law and order and although we don't agree with the decision we will abide by it and stay off the streets." He then ended by saying that it was "a sad day for freedom of speech in Edinburgh."

Despite the council's decision, it wasn't such a sad day for the BNP, considering all the publicity generated, which bodes well for the coming general election. Already, the old gang of politicians are becoming nervous about the BNP standing in Edinburgh. SNP candidate Lynne Devine said that she was not going to share a platform with the BNP (in case her empty head and meaningless drivel were shown up by its candidate).

Fascist rally fear

TROUBLE FLARES: Police clash with protesters during the James Connolly march in the city last month.

THE MAN behind a planned fascist march through the streets of Edinburgh was exposed as a neo-Nazi activist by the Evening News last year.

He is Neil Macmichael — a member of the British National Party (BNP) — and it is his name which appears on the application to be considered by councillors next week.

Macmichael admitted he was a co-organiser of the BNP in Edinburgh when we tracked him down to his Broxburn home in December.

Clashes

He is now playing the leading role in arranging the anti-republican parade on August 3, which is expected to attract more than 500 right-wing extremists from across the UK.

They say they should be given the same rights as IRA supporters who were allowed to march in the city last month, but police anxiety is high after clashes between right-wingers and anti-fascist groups if the event goes ahead.

Twenty-five were arrested and ten people were arrested when more than 60 BNP protesters stormed the James Connolly march in Edinburgh on June 3.

In his report to councillors considering the BNP's application, head Constable Sir William Sutherland expresses concern that innocent bystanders could be caught up in stormy confrontations and says even a massive deployment of police manpower would not guarantee public safety.

He adds: "It is my opinion that there will be serious public disturbance involving marchers and demonstrators, but, more importantly, it will also endanger ordinary members of the public who could be caught up in the disturbance.

"It will also arouse fear and tension within the local community, particularly ethnic groups."

He said the event could only be policed with "extreme difficulty", with a high risk of severe public disorder.

Chief Superintendent Allan Macdonald, deputy area chief for the police operation at the Connolly march, told the Evening News: "Feelings would certainly be running high if the BNP were allowed to march in Edinburgh.

"I suspect it would cause considerable offence to certain sections of the community.

"We deplore any incidents of violence in connection with any parade, no matter what the parade may be about."

Police warn of disorde

▶ NAZI sympathisers are planning a march through Edinburgh's city centre next month. An application from Neil Macmichael (right) a member of the extreme right-wing British National Party will be considered by councillors on Monday — but the plans have already met with a storm of opposition from police, traders and ethnic groups. BARBIE DUTTER reports.

WHAT DO YOU THINK?

▶ You can make your views known by attending the meeting of Lothian Regional Council's transportation committee, who will decide if the event is to go ahead.

■ The meeting is open to the public and takes place in the Regional Chambers, Parliament Square, at 2pm on Monday.

■ Alternatively, contact your local regional councillor or write to the Evening News letters page, 30 North Bridge, Edinburgh EH1 1YT.

Massive thumbs down ove

plans for city parade

JAVEED KAYANI

DIANE McKEEN

KEN HARROLD: 'no will be supporting a ban.

ANXIOUS traders and ethnic groups have given a massive thumbs down to the planned neo-Nazi parade.

Some say it would mean shutting up shop while others say it would force them to draft in extra security staff.

Diane McKeen, owner of the Ole Bar in Waterloo Place — on the parade route — is concerned that customers might stay out of her premises straight into trouble.

"I don't like what they stand for and I would be very surprised if there wasn't violence if the march went ahead," she said.

Shut

"I am extremely worried that my customers might get caught up in it, or even some of the demonstrators might come in charge in here and wreck havoc."

Javeed Kayani — manager of the National Bank of Pakistan in Waterloo Place — said if the march took place during the bank's opening hours he would shut the doors until it had passed.

"There are very bad people there's [illegible] next," she said. "If I stayed open I know my staff would be vandalised, I don't have any option. They also have families to go to."

Sorry Lal, director of Lothian Race Equality Council, said the march would be "a total disaster" for race relations in the region.

She said: "We are not against a political party expressing their views but we are against a party who are racist and incite racial hatred then are very much against it.

She called for local authorities come up with a clear policy and make a public statement on the issue before the march.

"If this march is allowed to go ahead, I feel it will put us back years in our racial equality work and it will leave black communities feeling very much frightened."

Councillor Ian Lindsay, vice convener of Lothian Regional Council's transportation committee — who will decide if the march will go ahead at their meeting on Monday — said no application was treated individually.

But he said he personally would be opposing a ban.

He said: "I would certainly be very worried about the fact that a neo-Nazi organisation was going to march

PRESS COVERAGE

This is a reproduction of a report on the BNP march application which appeared in the Edinburgh Evening News. *The original was much larger than space allows for here.*

Bermondsey: how reds were cornered

(SEE MAP BELOW)



HALIFAX

Last month we announced that a British National Party march and rally had been planned for Halifax (Yorkshire) on September 21st.

Please note that this activity has been cancelled.

This is to enable the party better to complete its reorganisation of the Yorkshire Region. A rally in Halifax will be held soon.

Football trophy stays in West London

THE 1991 BNP five-a-side football tournament was held in Nottingham on Saturday, August 10th and saw six teams lined up against each other. Kick-off saw the two West London teams battling it out on pitch one while on pitch two Nottingham 1st team and South London provided a game that was entertaining and enthusiastic. The first West London team won 3-0 and South London won their game 2-0. The first game of the tournament for Oldham and the Nottingham 2nd team resulted in a 3-0 win for Oldham.

Round two saw less scoring as players tended to settle down and try harder to score points. Even so, during this and subsequent rounds it was West London 1 and Oldham who led the way by displaying a greater level of ability for team-play than the others.

Oldham faced West London 1 in the final. The London team, whose scoring throughout made them the clear favourites to win, didn't have it all their own way, with Oldham out to do their very best to take back the shield this year. Thanks to his goalkeeping ability, Jason Wilcock of Oldham earned for himself the title of Man of the Tournament. West London eventually came out the winners by 3-2. All credit to them. The BNP shield goes to West London for the second successive year.

Thanks must go to Notingham BNP, who booked one of the city's premier sports facilities for the day. Everyone who attended, players and spectators alike, had a most enjoyable and entertaining day.

Report from JOHN PEACOCK

A.I.D.S. VICTIM

(Contd. from page 3)

all alleged past sufferings by being pampered and protected by special 'rights' and privileges. Among the groups on which the liberals have fawned have been drug-takers and homosexuals — two of the main conveyor belts for AIDS.

Then when someone like Dr. Acer manages to contract this loathsome disease it is the 'liberals', the people who set up this scale of values, who insist that his 'right' to ply his profession — however risky this is from a public health point of view — must take precedence over the right of someone like Miss Bergalis to enjoy good health, indeed to live.

Well might this young woman write, in a last angry and despairing letter to the head of Florida Health Department: "I blame Dr. Acer and every single one of you bastards. Anyone who knew Dr. Acer was infected and had a full-blown AIDS and stood by, not doing a damn thing about it — you are all just as guilty as he was. You've ruined my life and my family's."

In a heart-rending conclusion she wrote: "If laws are not formed to provide protection then my suffering and death were in vain. I'm dying, guys. Goodbye."

Liberalism kills. It's time to end it.

IN OTHER TIMES WE CALLED IT TREASON

(Contd. from page 2)

the cause of Bonaparte.

In times when debate was conducted in plain language in this country, we had a word for this. The word was **Treason.**

Treason is the only term that fits actions, whether by members of the Government or by others, which render this country defenceless against its enemies.

And there is no doubt whatever that present policies being pursued by the Government of Mr. John Major are leading to a situation in which Britain will be defenceless against its enemies in all meaningful senses of the term.

For a country that is unable to fight for its interests, or safeguard the security of its territory, except with the consent and co-operation of foreign powers is defenceless — whatever high-flown language may be used to disguise the fact.

All people who want Britain to have a future as a free and independent nation, in control of its own destiny, must oppose the cuts in her forces that the present Government is bent on bringing about — just as they must oppose every other measure which threatens the preservation of our nationhood.

We are now in a much more perilous position than when our seamen faced the might of Spain and when Wellington and Nelson defended us against Napoleon.

Then we had leaders who wanted us to survive as a nation; today we do not.

Contact your local party unit

THE BRITISH NATIONAL PARTY is organised into active units extending over most of the United Kingdom. Below we give a list of the main units:-

NORTH WEST LONDON
BM Box 3958, London WC1N 3XX

EAST LONDON
PO Box 300, Emma Street, Hackney, London E2 7BZ

HILLINGDON
PO Box 275, Uxbridge, Middlesex UB10 8XU

SOUTH WEST LONDON
BCM Box 5103, London WC1N 3XX

SOUTH EAST LONDON
PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW

EPPING FOREST
PO Box 12, Loughton, Essex IG10 2DN

WEST KENT
PO Box 48, Tonbridge TN11 9JF

EAST KENT
73 Shirkoak Park, Ashford TN26 3QP

SUFFOLK
2 Albert Road, Framlingham

NORFOLK
9 Johnson Place, Norwich NR2 2SA

MILTON KEYNES
PO Box 811, Bradwell Common, Milton Keynes, Bucks. MK13 8YZ

LUTON & DUNSTABLE
PO Box 506, Houghton Regis, Dunstable, Beds. LU5 5YY

DEVON
PO Box 1, Torrington EX38 8HQ

SOUTH WALES
PO Box 214, Cardiff CF3 9YB

BIRMINGHAM
PO Box 771, Great Barr, Birmingham B44 9LZ

STOKE-ON-TRENT
PO Box 312, Hanley, Staffs. ST1 0SG

LEICESTER
PO Box 148, Leicester LE3 2YD

PETERBOROUGH
PO Box 211, Peterborough, Cambs. PE3 8JE

NOTTINGHAM
PO Box 2, Carlton, Nottinham NG4 3EQ

WEST NOTTS.
PO Box 5, Eastwood, Notts. NG16 3RN

NEWARK
PO Box 5, Newark, Notts. NG24 3LD

LIVERPOOL
PO Box 116, Liverpool L69 1SH

MANCHESTER & SALFORD
PO Box 16, Salford M6 5EP

ROCHDALE
PO Box 156, Rochdale, Lancs. OL77 5LG

OLDHAM
PO Box 10, Oldham, Lancs. OL8 2WW

LEEDS
PO Box BR10, Bramley, Leeds 13

BRADFORD
PO Box 6, Thornton, Bradford BD13 3QF

HUDDERSFIELD
PO Box A43, Huddersfield HD1 4NN

WAKEFIELD
PO Box 26, Wakefield WF2 9YT

DEWSBURY
PO Box 32, Dewsbury WF12 0YU

HALIFAX
PO Box 133, Halifax HX3 6HB

DARLINGTON
PO Box 61, Darlington, Co. Durham DL3 9RD

TYNE & WEAR
PO Box 8, South Shields, NE33 1LP

EDINBURGH
PO Box 719, Edinburgh EH4 2SR

GLASGOW
PO Box 85, Glasgow G3 8UL

PAISLEY
PO Box 72, Paisley PA2 0BH

DUNDEE
PO Box 161, Dundee DD1 9YR

The BNP also has units in Richmond-on-Thames, Hounslow, Redbridge, Barking & Dagenham, Watford, Cambridge, Isle of Sheppey (Kent), Gloucestershire, Bristol, Hertfordshire, Newbury, Basingstoke, Bournemouth, Bedford, Stourbridge, Walsall, Worcestershire, Chesterfield, Grantham, Bolton & Bury, Warrington, Blackpool, Hull, Batley, York, Tees-side, Sunderland, Chester-le-Street, Stirling & Alloa, Lanarkshire, Cumnock (Ayrshire), Greenock, Ayr, Cumbernauld, Aberdeen and Inverness. If you want to contact any of these units, or start a new unit, please write to BNP Head Office, PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW.

ABERDEEN

BNP group starts to make waves

RACE RAGE

NE warning of recruiting

A FORMER student leader has warned of a possible recruitment drive by extreme racist parties in the North-east.

And Grampian police are preparing to crack down on any moves in the region by the right-wing British National Party, whose posters have been seen in Aberdeen.

Former Aberdeen Students' Union President Gavin Ramsay believes the party may be trying to gain a foothold in "an area of the country previously unvisited by extremists.

▶ JAMIE MACASKILL

One poster was spotted near Aberdeen's Gallowgate. The large photocopied sheet urged people to Join The Struggle For White Supremacy.

Pictures of monkeys in Union Jack shorts were emblazened across the poster, and racist slurs asked people to contact the party at an address in Kent.

"It was disgusting," said Mr Ramsay, "I immediately took a scrubbing brush and hot water to it and tore it down.

A Police spokesman said they had not been informed of any extremist recruitment drive in the North-east but were ready to move in and smash any attempts.

"It is not really something which is prevalent in the North-east at the moment but we will certainly be keeping an eye on the situation and be prepared to take action if necessary," he said.

But Mr Ramsay said the North-east may be next on the racist hit-list.

The above is a reproduction from a recent report in a local paper in Aberdeen, where the BNP group has started to make an impact. From the report it is clear that the party's presence in the Granite City has greatly upset the lefties of the local Students' Union — a sure sign that it is on the right track! The report says that the Grampian police "are preparing to crack down on any moves in the region by the right-wing British National Party, whose posters have been seen in Aberdeen." What a nerve! We were not aware that either the BNP or its posters were illegal. The local police, we suggest, would be much better employed concentrating their time on catching muggers and perverts.

NATIONALIST WEDDINGS IN AUGUST

THIS MONTH we take great pleasure in announcing the marriage of three nationalist couples in August.

South London Regional Organiser and BNP Bookshop Manager Richard Edmonds married Jennifer McCarthy; Greenwich Organiser Ian Dell married Gabrielle Ryan; and Milton Keynes Organiser Richard Fawcus married Pia Söderström.

We wish all three couples every happiness for the future.

BNP leaflets appear in Hebrides!

THE picturesque town of Stornoway on the Isle of Lewis was the focus for a recent BNP leaflet drive last month. Some 500 leaflets were distributed, which is a lot given the location, and already some islanders have been writing to the party's Head Office asking for more information.

Two more units formed

READERS of last month's *British Nationalist* may have noticed of a local party address in **Stoke-on-Trent**. Any readers who would like to get in touch with the new Stoke unit should write to the address seen on the list on this page.

The other new group recently formed is in **Chesterfield** (Derbyshire). This unit does not yet have a local address but it can be contacted by writing to the Nottingham address shown on this page.

BERMONDSEY

BNP leads white fight-back

SATURDAY, August 24th 1991 will go down in history as a decisive turning point in the British people's fight-back against the tyranny of multi-racialism.

This was the day when many hundreds of ordinary folk in South London turned out to support a counter-demonstration organised by the British National Party against an 'anti-racist' march through the district of Bermondsey. The BNP is proud to have led this backlash against a corrupt system.

The black Labour Mayoress of Southwark and Lee Jasper of the National Black Caucus led a predominantly black march, along with the usual riff-raff of communists of all races, from black Peckham into mainly white Bermondsey to protest against 'racial attacks' (this sloganising is just a pretext for anti-white demonstrations —the vast majority of racial attacks are by non-Whites against Whites).

The overwhelming feeling of the people of Bermondsey was that the march was not wanted. Feeling was running high, and the BNP decided to hold a counter-demonstration against it. Thousands of leaflets were distributed, and opposition to the march was spread by word of mouth. The evening before, local TV carried a news item and local newspapers talked of a possible clash between the BNP and the march.

On the day, the BNP occupied the counter-demo site. On the way to the site it seemed that everyone in Bermondsey was hanging Union Jacks over their balconies, waving and singing 'Rule Britannia'.

Large numbers were on the streets all along the route of the march to oppose it. It became clear that several hundred local lads had missed going to nearby Millwall's football match with Sunderland in order to defend their neighbourhood from outside attack.

The counter-demo site was occupied by the BNP from 2.00 p.m., and it was expected that the marchers would go past at 2.30 p.m. Chanting, singing and short speeches from local BNP leader Steve Tyler whiled away the time. Numbers at the demo site continued to swell, and a group of around 150 who had been blocking the road waiting for the march moved onto the site, making around 400 people present there to oppose the march.

Hundreds of leaflets and stickers were given out to local people.

At 2.30 p.m. it was rumoured that the march had been diverted to avoid a clash between its participants and the BNP. Steve Tyler made a short speech on the loudhailer. "What are they afraid of?" he asked. "The answer is the British people!" This brought loud cheering, followed by chants of "Rights for Whites" and "BNP, BNP."

In actual fact, the march had only been delayed as the police tried desperately to contain local youths grouping in the pubs along the route preparing to attack the march (these, hundreds strong, were apart from the 400 mentioned earlier assembling at the demo site).

ANTI-BRITISH PROVOCATION

Then suddenly the march appeared — coming up Rotherhithe New Road towards the demo site. The BNP chants grew louder and louder. Then, incredibly, in a calculated insult, the black marchers burnt a Union Jack. Upon this, the counter-demonstrators surged forward to attack the scum responsible, and police maintained the barricades only with great difficulty.

Then — bushwacked! As the march passed into Hawkstone Road everyone from the demo rushed through an estate into that road and then into Lower Road to get into Southwark Park to confront the 200 or so of them as they assembled for a rally.

The communists and Blacks were cornered in one small section of the park by something now approaching 600 counter-demonstrators comprising BNP members and ordinary local Whites, who had swarmed into the park, badly unnerving the marchers.

The police could do little faced with such numbers, and again short speeches from Steve Tyler and pro-white chanting completed the humiliation of the assembled reds and Blacks, who were not able to proceed with their intended rally.

Finally, after 20 minutes, the marchers began leaving the park. Victory! We had made them withdraw — a complete and comprehensive defeat for the assorted mob, who didn't have the courage to face us.

But this was not the end of the proceedings. As the last of the marchers left the park to loud chanting by the counter-demonstrators, the latter rushed out of the park themselves by another entrance and through an estate to emerge from a side road to block the marchers' retreat.

Police frantically erected barricades to stop the incensed people of Bermondsey attacking the marchers. Bricks and bottles showered down on the latter. The reds and Blacks, who had abandoned piles of leaflets and placards, were now well and truly on the run as events spontaneously unfolded.

FULL-SCALE RIOT

By now, the young white people of Bermondsey had taken over the streets. Acting entirely on their own initiative, they pursued the marchers out of the area and bloody clashes took place as they caught up with many of them. As the younger ones piled into the marchers, their elders looked on cheering and clapping. The people of Bermondsey had well and truly shown the left-wing anti-British trouble-makers that they were not wanted in the area.

After things had calmed down, local youths and BNP members gathered in nearby pubs for a drink and re-lived the events of the day. It had been a stunning victory for the British people and for the BNP, who had given the lead in organising opposition to the marchers. The BNP leaders had not authorised the later attacks on the marchers, which had occurred entirely spontaneously — largely as a reaction to the flag-burning provocation. Nevertheless, the party's attitude is that the anger giving rise to these clashes was understandable. For many years, local people have had to put up with violent attacks against them by left-wing and anti-British elements. On August 24th their anger erupted and they fought back. The BNP continues to advocate that lawful political action is the correct method for opposing the evils of the multi-racial society that the rulers of Britain have created, but as long as those rulers do not respond to the people's wishes by bringing their hideous experiment to an end the kind of street warfare we saw in Bermondsey on this day is inevitable.

Aware of the strength of local community feeling against the march, Simon Hughes, the Liberal Democrat MP for Bermondsey, who had not opposed it, came creeping around the pubs pretending to be on the side of the local people. Hughes, a well-known multi-racialist, was told bluntly to "…. off." When the general election comes, Hughes will be facing a stiff challenge from Steve Tyler, who will be BNP candidate, and he has every reason to be scared. No doubt this is why he is now to trying to ingratiate himself with the local Whites!

On August 24th the British people of Bermondsey made their voices heard in an expression of street power. A total of probably more than 1,000 came out onto the streets to oppose the march, and the evening news on TV showed the white anger, with the commentary stating that it all happened "in the BNP stronghold of Bermondsey."

Although London ITV news covered the event, it has been deliberately played down by the rest of the news media. Nevertheless, August 24th was a tremendous victory for the party and everyone who was present knows it. **When the general election comes, the people of Bermondsey should ditch Simon Hughes and vote pro-white — for Steve Tyler and the British National Party.**

Whites assemble on counter-demo site. Note local support on balconies

Whites corner reds in Southwark Park (see map on page 7)

SPEARHEAD

For an in-depth view of nationalist politics, read *Spearhead*, a 20-page monthly magazine which supports the British National Party. Price 60p. Yearly subscription £11.00. 87p for sample copy to: *Spearhead*, PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW.

BUY *BRITISH NATIONALIST* IN BULK

British Nationalist retails at 25p from your local seller. Would bulk-purchasers order **only** in the quantities listed below. Standing orders welcomed.

10 copies £2.50 + 79p post
25 copies £5.50 + £2.52 post
50 copies £10.00 + £3.15 post
100 copies £17.50 + £3.60 post
150 copies £25.00 + £4.15 post
200 copies £30.00 + £4.80 post
300 copies £42.00 + £6.05 post
400 copies £55.00 + £6.05 post
500 copies £65.00) Bulk rates
1,000 copies £125.00) by Roadline

Cheques/postal orders to *British Nationalist*, PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW. Please keep orders and enquiries concerning *British Nationalist* entirely separate from other correspondence so as to avoid delay and confusion.

SUBSCRIBE!

In order to make sure of receiving *British Nationalist* regularly, why not take out a subscription? The rate is £5.40 for 12 issues to subscribers in the British Isles and £7.40 (unsealed surface-mail) to subscribers overseas. Please make cheques/POs payable to *British Nationalist* and send them to: PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW.

An additional charge of £5.50 is required on all foreign-currency cheques and POs and an additional £2.50 on all foreign currency sent in banknotes.

Name.....

Address.....

.....

What we stand for

- The establishment of a government of national unity that will put an end to nationally divisive party warfare; the return of leadership and statesmanship to British affairs.
- An end to immigration and a start to repatriation.
- British withdrawal from the Common Market
- The disbanding of the multi-racial Commonwealth and its replacement by a new Commonwealth of self-governing white states.
- British ownership and control of British industry and resources.
- Protection of British industry by the selective exclusion of foreign manufactured goods from the British market.
- An end to the financial swindle that causes inflation and slump, and its replacement by a sane and fair financial system that will give the people the purchasing power to buy the goods they produce.
- The subordination of the power of the City to the power of government, and the harnessing of the City to the needs of British industry.
- The regeneration of British farming, with the object of achieving the maximum possible self-sufficiency in food production.
- Rejection of both the poll tax and its substitute, the new 'property tax', and a return to the old rates system, though with fairer rebates allowing for differences in income and in the numbers occupying properties.
- A healthy environment for a healthy people — the protection of our countryside and an end to the architectural disfigurement of our towns and cities.
- Tougher treatment of criminals, including the return of the death penalty.
- A return to traditional methods of education, with stronger discipline in the classroom and the sacking of 'trendy' teachers.
- An alternative defence strategy: withdrawal from NATO, closure of all foreign military and air bases in Britain, the strengthening of Britain's defences and a position of armed neutrality.
- An end to overseas aid and the allocation of the money saved to the financing of repatriation and greater help for the needy at home.
- The crushing of the IRA, the termination of the Anglo-Irish Agreement and firm support for the loyalist majority in Northern Ireland.
- Repeal of the laws permitting homosexuality and abortion (except where the latter is the result of rape or necessary on medical grounds), the wiping out of AIDS and a return to healthy moral values aimed at strengthening the family and community.

WRITE TO US

To: British National Party, PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW
(*Tick appropriate box*):-

Please send me more information, for which I enclose 30p ☐

I wish to become a member and enclose the yearly fee of £12 (half rate for OAPs and students) ☐

Name.....

Address.....

.....

Printed & published by BN Press, PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW